Spoilation Instruction. This is the first extension requested.

## I. GOOD CAUSE SUPPORTING THE EXTENSION.

The parties come to this Court seeking an extension of the briefing schedule related to Costco's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 28] and Ms. Chism's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Spoliation Instruction [Doc. 31]. The parties have completed a significant amount of discovery and continue to diligently move this case forward.

Costco filed its Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 28] on October 28, 2021. The parties subsequently agreed to allow Ms. Chism additional time to file her response to the motion in light of her counsel's travel schedule, Thanksgiving Day holiday commitments and commitments in other litigated/contested matters. The parties submitted a stipulation and order to this Court for the additional time on November 18, 2021 [Doc. 30], which this Court subsequently granted.

On November 29, 2021, Ms. Chism filed her Opposition to Costco's Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Spoliation Instruction [Doc. 31].

The parties now have agreed to allow Costco additional time to file its Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and its response to Plaintiff's Countermotion for Spoliation Instruction. The additional time is necessary due to Costco counsel's commitments in other litigated matters which have prevented him from finalizing the above reply including, but not limited to, a dozen expert depositions in a contested mass-tort/ asbestos matter, *Potter v. Crane Co., et. al.*, Case No. 2:20-CV-00276-RFB-VCF and party depositions in another mass-tort/ silica matter, *Nunez v. Robert Bosch Tool Corp., et. al.*, Case No. A-19-801186-C. The extension is only for an additional seven (7) days and will not delay this matter any further than is necessary to allow for a full briefing of the issues.

. . .